

INVALIDATION OF THE VALUE ADDED TAX REGULATIONS, 2017 BY THE HIGH COURT

The High Court of Kenya recently delivered its; Judgement that has now given clarity on the considerations of an export of service through the determination of the Tax Appeal No E84 of 2020: Commissioner of Domestic Taxes v W. E. C. Lines (K) Limited.

The Ruling of the Court upheld the respondent's position that agency services provided by a Kenyan–resident shipping agent to its non–resident principal shipping line qualify as exported services from Kenya from a VAT perspective and therefore zero–rated.

In his argument, the Commissioner of Domestic Taxes (Commissioner) had relied on the provisions of the Regulation No. 13 of the VAT Regulations, 2017 (VAT Regulations) arguing that agency services rendered by the respondent to its non-resident principal is not an export of service and as such were taxable at 16%.

Determination by the Tax Appeals Tribunal

Dissatisfied with the Commissioner's Objection Decision, the respondent appealed at the Tax Appeals Tribunal (TAT) which heard the parties and framed two issues for determination:

- i) whether the provisions of the VAT Regulations, 2017 supersede the provisions of the VAT Act, 2013; and
- ii) whether the respondent's services are zero-rated for VAT purposes.

In determination of the first issue, the TAT raised concerns on the validity of the VAT Regulations since there was no evidence that the VAT Regulations were tabled before the National Assembly for approval and also that being a subsidiary legislation, the Regulations could not override the provisions of the VAT Act, 2013.

On the second matter, the TAT found that the Respondent carried out agency services in Kenya as an agent of WEC BV, which is resident in Netherlands and was thus the recipient and consumer of the agency services. Consequently, the agency services were exported out of Kenya and are therefore zero-rated for VAT purposes.

It is against this determination at the TAT that the Commissioner of Domestic Taxes lodged an Appeal at the High Court faulting the TAT's findings that the VAT Regulations are in conflict with the VAT Act, 2013 arguing that the two complement each other and that the services in question were consumed in Kenya and cannot be treated as export of service.



Determination at the High Court

On hearing the matter, the High Court upheld the determination at the TAT in line with the respondent's arguments.

Below is a summary of the basis of arriving at its judgement:

The Cabinet Secretary of the National Treasury was required to table the VAT Regulations before the National Assembly within seven days of their publication in line with the Provisions of Section 11(1) of the Statutory Instruments Act, 2013. Failure to do so invalidated the VAT Regulations rendering them null and void.



- In line with the judgement in Commissioner of Domestic Taxes v Total Touch Cargo Holland HC ML ITA No. 17 of 2013 [2018] eKLR, for a service to be deemed an "exported service", the determining factor is the location where that service is to be finally used or consumed as opposed to where the service was performed.
- Based on the determinations above, the Court concluded that the Commissioner cannot rely on the provisions of the VAT Regulations, which are null and void and that services provided by the respondent qualify as export of service since they were consumed outside Kenya by the non-resident shipping company who is the consumer of the services.

It is our considered opinion that the judgement provides clarity on the parameters of determining if a service qualifies as an export of service or as a local supply. Further, on nullifying the VAT Regulations, it is now clear that unless the Commissioner appeals against this decision at the Court of Appeal, the Regulations remain invalid.

Summary of the Judgement

- Failure by the CS treasury to table the VAT regulation for approval by the National Assembly rendered the regulations null and void.
- For a service to be deemed an "exported service", the determining factor is the location where that service is to be finally used or consumed as opposed to where the service was performed.



Caveat

This newsletter has been prepared for general guidance, and does not constitute professional advice. Accordingly, RSM (Eastern Africa) Consulting Ltd, its associates and its employees and agents accept no liability for the consequences of anyone acting, or refraining from acting, in reliance on the information contained herein or for any decision based on it. No part of the newsletter may be reproduced or published without prior written consent. RSM (Eastern Africa) Consulting Ltd is a member firm of RSM, a worldwide network of accounting and consulting firms. RSM does not offer professional services in its own name and each member firm of RSM is a legally separate and independent national firm.



THE POWER OF BEING UNDERSTOOD AUDIT | TAX | CONSULTING

Tax information at your fingertips!

Download the RSM Tax App on Android or IOS to get the latest tax and accounting updates and timely reminders for your tax obligations, customized to your requirements







