THE POWER OF BEING UNDERSTOOD
ASSURANCE | TAX | CONSULTING

RSM

Annual Financial Statements

The Hidden Tax & Transfer Pricing Dimension Every CFO Should Recognize

RSM

Annual Financial Statements:

The Hidden Tax & Transfer Pricing Dimension Every CFO Should Recognize

1. Beyond IFRS Compliance: Why AFS Matter More Than You Think

Annual Financial Statements (AFS) are traditionally seen through a compliance lens: ensure IFRS/IAS standards are met, auditors are satisfied, and shareholders are informed. But in today's interconnected regulatory environment, AFS play a far bigger role.

Every note, disclosure, and accounting policy choice is no longer just an **accounting outcome**; it is also a **potential tax and transfer pricing (TP) trigger**. Revenue authorities increasingly use AFS as a *first line of inquiry* when assessing whether taxpayers have complied with domestic tax laws and the arm's length principle. The risk? A disclosure that is perfectly correct under IFRS may still create exposure if it is inconsistent with TP policies or local tax legislation.

2. The "Dual Lens" Problem

Why does this happen? Because IFRS and tax laws serve **different purposes**:

- **IFRS/IAS**: Focuses on *true and fair presentation* for investors and stakeholders.
- **Tax & TP rules**: Focuses on *revenue protection* and ensuring income is reported where value is created.

This creates a **dual lens problem**: A CFO may sign off financials thinking compliance is achieved, but a tax authority may read the same disclosures as evidence of risk.

3. Examples of Where Disclosures Become Tax/TP Triggers

a) Related-party disclosures (IAS 24)

- A list of group transactions, often simplified for financial reporting, becomes a roadmap for revenue authorities to challenge arm's length pricing.

b) Financing disclosures (IAS 32/39, IFRS 9)

- Notes on intercompany loans, guarantees, or cash pooling arrangements can influence thin capitalization tests, interest limitation rules, or deemed WHT exposures.

c) Management fees & service charges

- "Administrative support fees" or "management fees" disclosed without detail can trigger questions about substance, DEMPE functions, and benefit tests.

d) Segment reporting (IFRS 8)

- Segmental data may unintentionally reveal profit allocations across jurisdictions; directly feeding into BEPS and profit shifting audits.

e) Deferred tax notes (IAS 12)

- Deferred tax recognition can highlight timing mismatches, raising questions on whether certain expenses or provisions are deductible for tax purposes.

4. Why This Must be on the CFO's and Tax Leader's Agenda

- **Integrated regulatory scrutiny:** Tax authorities are increasingly linking financial statements directly with tax filings through digital reporting. In Tanzania, for instance, audited financial statements are filed together with the final return of income, meaning any inconsistency is immediately visible during compliance reviews.
- **Heightened audit exposure:** Even minor misalignments—such as related-party disclosures that do not reconcile with transfer pricing documentation—can raise red flags and escalate into a full-scope tax audit.
- **Protection against tax leakage:** Weak alignment between IFRS disclosures and transfer pricing policies can result in denied deductions, deemed income adjustments, or double taxation, directly impacting cash flows and the group's effective tax rate.

5. The CFO's Practice Mandate: Joint Review of AFS

What does this mean in practice?

a) **Integrate tax and TP reviews into core business and reporting process**; by proactively assessing implications before entering into related-party transactions, rather than reacting at the AFS stage when risks are already embedded.

b) **Reconcile related-party disclosures** with TP documentation before filing.

c) **Stress-test financing disclosures** against local thin-cap, WHT, and interest limitation rules.

d) **Align terminology** – avoid accounting descriptions that could be misinterpreted by tax auditors.

e) **Build cross-functional teams** – finance, tax, and TP advisors should jointly review AFS before submission, including identifying any required TP adjustments and ensuring these are properly reflected in the AFS to maintain consistency with TP documentation and tax filings.

This is not just compliance hygiene: it is strategic risk management.

6. The Future of AFS in the Tax Arena

The global tax environment is moving toward **greater transparency**:

- **OECD Pillar Two** will push multinationals to reconcile tax and accounting numbers more closely.
- **E-filing regimes** across Africa are requiring taxpayers to submit AFS alongside tax returns, enabling automated mismatch detection.
- **Data analytics by revenue authorities** means disclosures once buried in footnotes are now searchable, comparable, and actionable.

7. A Shift in Mindset for CFOs

The message is simple yet profound: audited financial statements are not just for investors; they are also for tax authorities. CFOs and tax leaders must **shift toward a dual-lens mindset**, where every disclosure is tested both for IFRS accuracy and for tax and transfer pricing defensibility. This shift reduces disputes, prevents tax leakage, and ensures consistency across the entire tax lifecycle.

Takeaway for CFOs: Before signing off AFS, ask not only *"Is this IFRS compliant?"* but also *"How will this disclosure be read by a tax authority"*